From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING	AUTHORIT
----------------------------------	---------------	-----------	----------

То:	
LESLIE GLADSTON	E RESTAINO
163 MADISON AVEN	NUE
P.O. BOX 1989	
MORRISTOWN, NJ	07962-1989

P.O. BOX 1989 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-1989		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
		(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14, 111N 25(1)7			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
See paragraph 2 below 199-169PCT					
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US05/05166	18 February 2005 (18.0	02.2005) 26 February 2004 (26.02.2004)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC					
IPC: C12N 5/02(2006.01),5/06(2006.01),5/08(2006.01) USPC: 435/377,325,368,375					
Applicant					
THE TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNI	VERSITY IN THE CITY	OF NEW YORK			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	,				
Box No. III Non-establis	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain docu	Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ U		etion of this	Authorization Cold of		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	opinion	4	Daniel C. Gamett, PhD		
P.O. Box 1450	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 O4 June 2007 (04.06.2007)				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Telephone No. 571 272 1600		
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201)05)				

Applicants: Thomas M. Jessell, et al. Serial Number: 10/789,308
Filing Date: February 26, 2004
Exhibit 56

International application No.

PCT/US05/05166

Box No	6. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a .	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	Turnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	onal comments:

International application No.

PCT/US05/05166

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
Claims Nos. 13,15,27,29,37 and 39
hecause:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
the claims, or said claims Nos. 13,15,27,29,37 and 39 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): Claim 13, 27, 29, 37, 39 are not examined because the claim are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: Any modulator identified by any of the claimed methods.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 13,27,29,37 and 39
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).
a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/US05/05166

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not complied with for the following reasons: See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts. the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-12,14,16-26,28,30-36,38 and 40-42

International application No. PCT/US05/05166

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims 12, 25 YES Claims 1-11, 14, 16-24,26, 28, 30-36, 38, 40-42 NO Inventive step (IS) Claims 12,25 YES Claims 1-11, 14, 16-24,26, 30-36, 38, 40-42 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-12, 14, 16-26,28, 30-36, 38-42 YES Claims NONE NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-9, 11, 14 16-23, 28, 30-33, 36, 38, 40-42 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 20020151056 (SASAI et al) 17 October 2002. Citing earlier work, Sasai et al. teach that embryonic stem cells cultured in medium supplemented with retinoic acid differentiate first to neural precursors and then to neurons [0023]. Sasai et al. further teach use of BMP and hedgehog proteins to further differentiate neurons (see claims 13 and 14). Sasai teach the use of a conditioned medium at [0105]. The methods of Sasai et al. produce dopamineric, cholinergic, GABAergic, and serotonergic neurons [0023, 0184]. Sasai et al. teach carrying out differentiation methods in the presence and absence of substances to be tested for effects on neural differentiation in claims 56-58. Therefore, Sasai et al. anticipate all of the systems and methods of the instant claims.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 16, 18-24, 26 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Carpenter et al., Exp Neurol. 2001 Dec;172(2):383-97. Carpenter et al. derived multiple types of mature neurons from human embryonic stem cells by a culture method that included retinoic acid, B27 conditioned medium, NT-3 and BDNF, thus anticipating the systems recited in the instant claims.

Claims14, 28,30, 32-36, 38, 40-42 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Carpenter et al., Exp Neurol. 2001 Dec;172(2):383-97. It would be routine experimentation to observe the effects of test substances in a system such as taught by Carpenter et al.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)